

MaineHealth

The Role of Clinical Informatics in Sharing Patients and Systems

Teri Young, MSB, RN-BC
Senior Director Nursing Informatics

April 17, 2018

Agenda

- MaineHealth – Implementation History
 - “One Patient, One Record”
- Challenges – Everyone is Special and the Same
- Governance is Key
- Respecting the Differences
- Positive Outcomes
- Questions?

The New Normal

- Implementations lead the way to Optimization, until the next facility joins your health systems, then back to Implementation
 - IS and Informatics Teams must adjust to support both activities simultaneously
 - Informatics plays a key role in guiding stakeholders in ensuring system design, build and use is as consistent as possible across the health system
- Newcomers are the recipients of a clinical and technical systems, organizational processes and policies that have been created by others

The New Normal

- Clinical System harmonization is one part of the cultural upheaval
 - Newest member may not want to adjust to the new normal
 - Existing members may not want to consider re-adjusting what they have already created
- Clinical Informatics professionals must be proficient in moving parties to consensus while adhering to the guiding principles of the EHR use

MaineHealth History of EHR Rollouts

- 2008 - Initial Ambulatory EHR implementation
- 2012 - Initial Inpatient EHR implementation
- 2014 – First of eight subsequent implementations
- 2015 – Two more...
- Squeeze in an upgrade
- 2017 – Two more...and an upgrade
- 2018 – One in the wings...
- 2019 – Another one...
- 2020 – The last facility, as far as we know

MaineHealth's Concurrent IS Cultural & Structural Shift

- Individual IS departments within MaineHealth became one Shared Service Department – Finance and HR were also consolidated
- Challenges of small hospitals feeling on the periphery due to size and geography – “dictated to by the larger entity”
- What to do with analysts who support current legacy system as change is made to new enterprise solution?
- Shift to centralized Help Desk and issue logging/tracking system
- Necessity to create a central Service and Project Request system

Everyone Is Special...and the Same...

- Everyone agrees that Evidenced Based Practice is a standard
 - Challenge comes with various interpretations of how to operationalize those standards
- Each facility has their own:
 - Clinical Policies and Procedures
 - Medical Staff By-Laws
 - Charge Structures
 - Supply Chain processes (think OR supplies and preference cards)
 - Patient Access Procedures

Challenges

- Disparate understanding of system capabilities among governance members, those on the system the longest likely have the most information
 - It may not be the most current
 - New stakeholders see things through a new lens and will have a different understanding of system capabilities
- Always have the risk of “we have done it like this since we started” thinking
- New members want to keep the same look and feel of their current (legacy) system – staying in their comfort zone

Challenges

- New functionality and workflow may change roles – think of Medication Reconciliation or Patient Check In
- Emotions related to ‘big brother is telling us how to do our job’
- Differences in documentation terms/language and requests for more to be added to the picklists

Harmonization

- Information Technology and Informatics facilitate the build and use of EHRs
 - A single instance of an EHR is best supported by standard build for all locations
 - » Patients shared among health system locations are better supported
 - » Staff who work among facilities in the health system are better supported
 - Ongoing IT support is enhanced by a standard build
- IT and Informatics must partner with Clinical Practice Governance groups

Harmonization

- Clinical Practice Governance facilitates the development of collaborative policies, processes and content
 - Becomes increasingly challenging to gain consensus as new facilities are added to the enterprise
 - Without consensus, stakeholders will push for individualized build to meet their local needs
- During times of rapid deployment, organizations may find it hard to get various stakeholders to reach consensus in time to meet implementation deadlines
- “Harmonization” of clinical processes are absolutely necessary to support standard build

Governance is Key

- Informatics governance is needed to oversee the build and use of the system
 - System content has to be driven by Clinical Practice Governance requests, IS operationalizes those requests
 - Informatics develops solutions using framework that includes:
 - » Ability to build it as requested
 - » Consistency with existing build
 - » End user experience

Governance is Key

- Guiding Principles provide guardrails for decisions
 - Stakeholder Governance groups review requests that are outside of the guardrails with support from IS and Informatics
 - Senior Leaders depend upon Informatics experts to provide recommendations on actions
- Ensure that governance supports all voices, not just largest or most vocal
- How will decisions be ratified?
- Develop ways to keep the ultimate goal in front of stakeholders and leaders: utilizing the EHR to support safe and effective patient care across the continuum

Respecting Differences

- Evidenced Based Care is the standard regardless of hospital type or size – Community, Academic, Critical Access
- There are differences:
 - Workflow due to space constraints
 - Staffing roles – especially in facilities where staff wear multiple ‘hats’
 - Scope of services provided
 - Reimbursement rules

Respecting Differences

- Informaticists and IS Analysts must work collaboratively with stakeholders to ensure that they understand workflow and need
- Will existing build work with if end user workflows are adjusted?
 - Requires skills at getting parties to “yes”
 - System configuration shouldn't drive clinical practice but support it

Positive Outcomes – Sharing Patients with a Shared EHR

- “Round-Tripper” patients who move from one facility to another for a procedure and then back to their original facility – shared EHR supports:
 - Bed management (their bed is waiting for their return)
 - Orders are managed without stopping and re-entering everything (safer patient handoff and improved hospitalist experience)
 - Clinical documentation is cohesive across the settings (improved patient safety and clinician satisfaction)
 - Information is available to the entire care team across the continuum

Positive Outcomes – Sharing Patients with a Shared EHR

- Medication Lists that follow the patient
 - Usually needs more work to support use – the functionality is there but the content is only as good as the management by the care team along the way
 - Supports Opioid initiatives
- Comprehensive Stroke Documentation that ensures care started in one ED is readily and immediately accessible at the certified stroke center as the patient arrives
- Telehealth capabilities enable us to provide consultations from specialty services to rural locations minimizing the need for patients to travel distances. Often travel is a barrier to receiving that care.
- Better coordination of patient visits because there is one view into their upcoming appointments across the system

Summary

- Informaticists and IS team members play a significant role in supporting stakeholders as they become part of the same end user community
- Clinical governance for content and policies is critical
- IS Analysts and Informaticists must work closely with clinical governance to ensure that clinical practice is guiding system build
- Do not underestimate the impact of health system changes on the IS and Informatics teams, they are likely adjusting to the same types of changes as their stakeholders

The Patient is *ALWAYS* at the Center



Questions / Discussion

Thank you!